The second secon

Towards Scriptable C++ Refactorings with Coccinelle

Ínnín -

<u>Michele MARTONE</u>*, Julia LAWALL[†]

Context: Problems with large scientific HPC C/C++ Codebases

In our experience and in our environment, the problems of **large** HPC codebases are not so unlike those of non-HPC codebases:

- Keeping up to date to dependencies (e.g. libraries or parallelism APIs)
- Uncluttering old constructs (e.g. once new standards appear)
- Making code future proof (e.g. amenable to parallelism)
 Refactoring for performance (e.g. data layout change)

Background Work

Joint expertises:

- Performance-oriented refactoring of large HPC codes (Martone)
- Formal methods for program rewriting (Lawall)
- Successful development of a *programmable*, *replayable* refactoring for a 200 kLoC C codebase (see [C3PO])
- Using semantic patching technology of COCCINELLE

Coccinelle

About:

- Design started in 2004 (open source in 2008)
- Targeted "collateral evolutions" (recurring changes motivated by changes in API interfaces), in LINUX device driver code (C)
- $\hfill \bullet$ Contributed to around 9000 commits in the ${\rm LINUX}$ kernel
- $\hfill \begin{tabular}{ll} \bullet \hfill \begin \hfill \begin{tabular}{ll} \bullet \hfill \begin{tabular}{ll} \bullet$

Ensuring code properties (e.g. thread safety or against code smells)

A difference is perhaps in longer lifespans and smaller teams. HPC development tends to happen in bursts, e.g., when an idea is being developed and usually associated to a publication. Typically, *future proofing* and correctness efforts are tackled by the original author, who is not a computer scientist. At times, access to staff with specific expertise in Software Engineering or HPC is granted as a service.

Managing the Unfeasible

Our collaboration (see [C3PO]) was initiated from the need to obtain a programmable code refactoring that not only could be performed in little time (a matter of seconds), but could also be maintained by the code owners, who are astrophysicists, not C++ or parsing experts.

Manipulate Expressions, Globally

In [C3PO] we had to change all expressions involving a few dozen array of structures accesses into corresponding structure of arrays accesses. This change impacted nearly the entire code (tens of thousands of change sites), and yielded an estimated 2 to $5 \times$ speedup on representative runs. We deem global expression manipulation to be **the most important application** of our approach.

We hope that the general C++ community can find uses of our otherwise HPC-oriented collaboration.

Low-Cost Performance Experiments

Rules can be instructed to act on selected quantities only, thus enabling refactorings that are *partial*. This opens the door to low-effort performance experiments, including also #pragmas, loops, and function manipulations like in the following subsections.

What this Poster is About

- A Franco-German collaboration
- In form of code rewriting rules and resulting diff output
- Refactorings recently enabled in COCCINELLE:
 - HPC-affine introduction of mdspan (modern C++)
 - A sample rule to replace loops with *STL algorithms*
 - Another sample rule to enforce a programming guideline

No Raw Loops Example

Coccinelle can match several constructs, comprehensive of control flow. The following example replaces a loop on elements with the use of an *STL algorithm*, which is good practice [NRL].

```
_{1} #spatch --c++=17
2 00 00
   #include <iostream>
4 + #include <algorithm>
5 + #include <functional>
7 00
stype T;
g constant k;
10 identifier elem, result, arrid;
11 00
    bool result = false;
12 -
13
     . . .
14 - for ( T &elem : arrid )
_{15} - if ( \( elem == k \| k == elem \) )
```

Distinguishing features:

- Self-contained project
- Unique diff-like (+ .../ ...) patch specification language

What *novel* uses do we foresee:

- Large-scale refactorings
- Data-layout changes
- Advanced expression manipulations
- $\scriptstyle \bullet$ Modern $\rm C/C++$ standards and GPU-specific language extensions

Enforcing Coding Guidelines Example

Coding guidelines can be project-specific or idiomatic. Here we identify overly heavy parameters being passed by value, relating to guidelines F16 and F17 of Stroustrup and Sutter [F16F17].

```
1 #spatch --c++
2 @r1@
3 type T;
4 identifier f;
5 parameter list pl;
6 @0
7
8 T f(pl) { ... }
9
10 @r2@
11 typedef A, B;
12 type heavy_type = {A, B};
13 type r1.T;
14 identifier r1.f;
15 symbol i;
```

Modern C++ Transformation Example

Introduction of C++23's multi-index transformations is now possible (e.g. for std::mdspan, see [MDSPAN]). The following *semantic patch* with two rules:

1 #spatch --c++=23
2 @tomultiindex@
3 symbol a;
4 expression x,y,z;
5 @@
6 - a[x][y][z]
7 + a[x, y, z]
8
9 @@
10 symbol b;
11 @@
12 - b[...]
13 + b[0]

leads to the following code patch (context deliberately expanded):

1 @@ -1,8 +1,8 @@
2 int main()
3 {
4 int a[1][1][1];
5 int b[1][1][1];
6 int i=0,j=0,k=0;
7 - a[i][j][k]++;
8 - b[i][j][k]++;
9 + a[i, j, k]++;

16 -17 -. . . result = true; 18 break; 19 🗖 20 -21 + const bool result = (find(begin(arrid),end(arrid),k) != 22 + end(arrid)); 23 + 00 -1, 20 +1, 15 00#include <vector> #include <iostream> 4 +#include <algorithm> 5 +#include <functional> int main() using namespace std; vector $v = \{1, 2, 3\};$ bool has_zero = false; 10 -11 v[2] = 0;12 13 for (int & a : v) 14 if (0 == a)15 -16 cout << "doing things\n";</pre> 17 -18 has_zero = true; 19 break; 20 -21 -

16 00 18 +// Note: heavy copy! 19 T f (20 ..., heavy_type i, ... 21) { . . . } 000 -1, 13 +1, 16 00#include <array> struct A { std::array<int,999> a; }; // heavy struct B { std::array<int,999> a; }; // heavy struct C { std::array<int, 16> a; }; // light void if1(int i) {} void if2(int &arg) {} void if3(const int &arg) {} 9+// Note: heavy copy! void af1(A i) {} 11 +// Note: heavy copy! 12 void bf1(B i) {} 13 +// Note: heavy copy! void bf2(const B i) {} void bf3(const B & i) {} void cf1(C i) {} 17 int main() { }

Key Points

- Best if used on codebases with code conventions in place
- Transformations preserve most spacing and comments
- Specificity vs generality is at the user's discretion

10 + b[0][j][k]++; 11 }

Notice that lines 5–6 of the semantic patch (rule <code>@tomultiindex@</code>) modify expressions of **arbitrarily complicated** statements.

Current Work

We are expanding COCCINELLE's $\mathrm{C}++$ support:

- constructors, destructors
- template declarations and instantiation
- namespaces, misc keywords
- lambda functions
- variadic operators

Unsupported syntax in source code leads to skipping transformations. Once we have enough syntax covered, we will develop use cases. 22 + const bool has_zero =
23 + (find(begin(v), end(v), 0) != end(v));

cout << has_zero << endl;</pre>

24

25 }

Acknowledgements

This work was partially funded by SiVeGCS

 Work visits in this collaboration have been supported by the BayFrance'23 scheme (https://www.bayern-france.org/), financed by the Bavarian Ministry of State for Education, Culture, Science and Art (StMBW) and the French Ministery of Europe and Foreign Affairs (MEAE)



This is preliminary work

• C++ codes are very diverse

• We're curious to hear about your refactoring patterns

References

- [C3PO] M. Martone, J. Lawall; "Refactoring for Performance with Semantic Patching: Case Study with Recipes"; Proceedings of the "Compiler-assisted Correctness Checking and Performance Optimization for HPC" Workshop at ISC'21 (preprint: https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03266521; doi: https://link. springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-90539-2_15)
- [NRL] Sean Parent. GoingNative 2013 C++ Seasoning at https://www. youtube.com/watch?v=W2tWOdzgXHA
- [F16F17] Bjarne Stroustrup and Herb Sutter. F.16: For "in" parameters, pass cheaply-copied types by value and others by reference to const and F.17: For "inout" parameters, pass by reference to non-const at https://isocpp.github. io/CppCoreGuidelines/CppCoreGuidelines
- [MDSPAN] VV.AA. https://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/ papers/2022/p0009r18.html

O michelemartone

% https://coccinelle.gitlabpages.inria.fr/website/